



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,049	10/04/2005	Andrew T. Yule	GB 030039	6165
65913	7590	06/02/2008	EXAMINER	
NXP, B.V.			MISLEH, JUSTIN P	
NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
M/S41-SJ			2622	
1109 MCKAY DRIVE				
SAN JOSE, CA 95131				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/02/2008		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/552,049	Applicant(s) YULE ET AL.
	Examiner JUSTIN P. MISLEH	Art Unit 2622

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1 - 15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1 - 15 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 October 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S/65/06)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: ____

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (l) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

2. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The listing of references in the specification (see Specification, page 1) is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, “the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper.” Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the Examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

4. The listing of references in the Search Report is not considered to be an information disclosure statement (IDS) complying with 37 CFR 1.98. 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2) requires a legible copy of: (1) each foreign patent; (2) each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; (3) for each cited pending U.S. application, the application specification including claims, and any drawing of the application, or that portion of the application which caused it to be listed including any claims directed to that portion, unless the cited pending U.S. application is stored in the Image File Wrapper (IFW) system; and (4) all other information, or that portion which caused it to be listed. In addition, each IDS must include a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office (see 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1) and (b)), and MPEP § 609.04(a), subsection I. states, “the list ... must be submitted on a separate paper.” Therefore, the references cited in the Search Report have not been considered. Applicant is advised that the date of submission of any item of information or any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the IDS, including all “statement” requirements of 37 CFR 1.97(c). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(c) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. **Claims 1 – 15** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Baldino (US 7,007,243).

The Examiner respectfully notes method Claims 1 – 6 appear to correspond to apparatus Claims 7 – 14. For the sake of brevity, Claims 7 - 14 will be grouped with Claims 1 – 6, where appropriate.

7. For **Claims 1, 7, 8 and 12**, Baldino discloses, as shown in figure 4, a method of appending a position stamp to an image file of a photo or video clip taken with a digital camera (402) having a GPS antenna (424) and a s GPS RF front-end including an analogue to digital converter (424) for receiving GPS signals and outputting GPS signal samples, the method comprising the steps of:

(i) upon a user taking a photo or video clip:

(a) creating an image file containing that photo or video clip (see column 4, lines 4 – 10), and

(b) sampling received GPS signals and storing those GPS signal samples with an indication of the image file of the photo or video clip to which those GPS signal samples pertain (see column 4, lines 4 – 10); and

(ii) subsequently processing the GPS signal samples to obtain a position fix and appending the position fix to the image file (see column 4, lines 11 – 45 and figure 1).

8. As for **Claims 2 and 9**, Baldino discloses, as stated in column 4 (lines 4 – 21) and as shown in figure 4, wherein step (ii) is done after an intentional delay (e.g., images are stored in a memory card prior to transferring) has elapsed after step (i).

9. As for **Claims 3**, Baldino discloses, as stated in column 4 (lines 4 – 21), after step (i) but before step (ii), uploading the image file and GPS signal samples to an external computer (e.g., images are stored in a memory card prior to transferring).

10. As for **Claims 4 and 10**, Baldino discloses, as stated in column 4 (lines 11 – 45), after step (i), detecting the connection to the camera of an external power source (i.e., connecting to the PC) whereupon step (ii) is done either automatically or after user confirmation of an automatic prompt for the same (i.e., the computer is operated by an online/offline user/customer who receives and loads digital image files with GPS metadata).

11. As for **Claims 5, 11, and 13**, Baldino discloses, as stated in column 4 (lines 1 – 45), wherein step (i) is performed twice upon a user taking respective first and second photo or video clips, prior to step (ii) being done for the first photo or video clip (user “loads digital image files” onto the PC; hence, a plurality of digital image files have been generated).

12. As for **Claims 6 and 14**, Baldino discloses, as stated in column 4 (lines 1 - 10), wherein the GPS signal samples are stored in a file format (as “metadata” together in the image file).

13. For **Claim 15**, Baldino discloses, as shown in figure 4, a computer (426) comprising a processor (430) and receiver (428), and configured to:

(a) receive through the receiver (428) an image file containing a photo or video clip, GPS signal samples and an indication of the corresponding image file to which the GPS signal samples pertain by virtue of having been sampled when the corresponding photo or video clip was taken (see column 4, lines 1 – 45);

(b) process the GPS signal samples to obtain a position fix; and (c) append the position fix to the corresponding image file (see column 4, lines 1 – 45 and figure 1).

Cited Prior Art

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure for the following reasons:

- US 6,741,864 B2; US 6,914,626 B2; US 6,833,865 B1; and US 7,145,695 B2 each disclose a digital camera with a GPS receiver for attached GPS data to digital image files.

Conclusion

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Justin P Misleh whose telephone number is 571.272.7313. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Lin Ye can be reached on 571.272.7372. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571.273.8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**/Justin P. Misleh/
Examiner, Art Unit 2622
May 29, 2008**